

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/523,708 | 03/13/2000 | Mario Beretta | 33330/GM/vp | 6656 |
| 7: | 590 11/06/2002 | | | |
| Modiano & Associati | | | EXAMINER | |
| Via Meravigli 1 20123 Milano, | 16 | | WACHTEL, A | LEXIS A |
| ITALY | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1771 | 77 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002 | 1 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | AS7 | _ |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| RIO | | |
| | | |
| add | ress | |
| er re _l ippli | WANCE. ply to a cation in r Continued | |
| icheve | er is later. In no | |
| ON. S | See MPEP | |
| ate ex on; or | e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in may reduce any | |
| in | | |
| ow); | | |
| g or | simplifying the | |
| clai | ms. | |
| | | |
| / file | d amendment | |
| s No | OT place the | |
| h we | ere newly | |
| ered d. | and an | |
| | | |
| | | l |

Advisory Action

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------|----------------|
| | 09/523,708 | BERETTA, MARIO |
| ļ | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Alexis Wachtel | 1771 |
| | | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence

THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the appropriate for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (3) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (4) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (4) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (5) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for allowance; (6) a timely filed Requestion of the condition for all the conditions for

| Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | |
|--|----------|
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | , |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce an earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | er in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | |
| (a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or | the |
| (d) 🗵 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendme canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | nt |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: because it relies on a non-entered amendment. | 9 |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | |
| 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | |
| 10. Other: | |
| TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | |
| TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 | |





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant amended claims to overcome 35 USC 112 1st paragraph rejection of claims 1,3-7 and 9. In addition Applicant now claims a first layer and a second layer placed "on top of each other" rather than next to each other.